

ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

Churchill Building 10019 103 Avenue Edmonton AB T5J 0G9 Phone: (780) 496-5026

NOTICE OF DECISION NO. 0098 912/11

Altus Group 17327 106A Avenue EDMONTON, AB T5S 1M7 The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held on April 25, 2012, respecting a complaint for:

| Roll | Municipal | Legal | Assessed Value | Assessment | Assessment |
|---------|-----------------------|---|----------------|------------|-------------|
| Number | Address | Description | | Type | Notice for: |
| 3595535 | 10123 99 Street NW | Plan: 7621103 Block: H Lot: 1 & 2 | \$91,407,500 | Annual New | 2011 |

Before:

Patricia Mowbrey, Presiding Officer Dale Doan, Board Member Mary Sheldon, Board Member

Board Officer: Jason Morris

Persons Appearing on behalf of Complainant:

Chris Buchanan, Altus Group

Persons Appearing on behalf of Respondent:

James Cumming, Assessor, City of Edmonton Moreen Skarsen, Assessor, City of Edmonton Veronika Ferenc-Berry, Law Branch, City of Edmonton

PRELIMINARY MATTERS

At the commencement of the hearings the parties indicated that they had come to an agreement as to a revision to the assessment of this property. The parties agreed that considering a change to the rental rate for the subject property the total assessment of the property should be revised to \$81,269,000. Further, the parties agreed that the portion of the propertly listed as exempt from taxation should be revised to 14.02%. The representative of the City indicated that the change to the exemption percentage was the result of a recalculation in accordance with a previous decision of the Edmonton Composite Assessment Review Board.

ISSUE(S)

Is the assessment of the subject property fair and equitable?

LEGISLATION

Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26

s 467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

s 467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
- b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

DECISION

The assessment of the subject property is revised to \$81,269,000 and the exempt percentage of the property is revised to 14.02%.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

Considering the recommendation of the Complainant and the consent of the Respondent, and in the absence of any other evidence presented to the Board, the Board has decided to give effect to the agreement of the parties.

| Dated this 25 ^t | th day of April, 2012 | 2, at the City of Edmonto | on, in the Province of Alberta. |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|

| Patricia Mowbrey, | Presiding Officer |
|-------------------|-------------------|

This decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26.

cc: SUNLIFE ASSURANCE COMPANY OF CANADA